




## Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) - Status at State

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) uses the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) to assess federal programs. The PART is a series of diagnostic questions used to assess and evaluate programs across a set of performance-related criteria, including program design and purpose, strategic planning, program management, and results. PART results are then used to inform the budget process and improve program management to ensure the most effective and efficient usage of taxpayer dollars.

To date, State and OMB have conducted 39 PART reviews for State's programs. Twelve new PART reviews were conducted in 2005 for inclusion in the President's FY 2007 budget submission to Congress. No reassessments were conducted for programs reviewed in previous years. Preliminary scores for the current-year assessments rate three State programs as "Effective," one program as "Moderately Effective," and eight programs as "Adequate."

Recent results from PART reviews conducted by OMB are summarized below by strategic goal and in order of score (highest to lowest). Information is provided describing how bureaus have addressed and implemented findings and recommendations for each of the PART programs.

The answers to specific questions in the PART translate into section scores which are weighted to generate an overall score. The section scores are weighted as follows to generate a section score: program purpose/design 20 percent, planning 10 percent, management 20 percent, and results/accountability 50 percent. Overall, PART scores are translated into qualitative ratings. Because overall scores could suggest a false degree of precision, only the overall ratings are made available to the public.





<b>STATE PART PROGRAMS CY 2002 – 2005: SCORES AND RATINGS</b> (PART program descriptions and improvement plans are included in the Appendix)			
<b>Strategic Goal</b>	<b>Bureau</b>	<b>Program Name</b>	<b>Scores and Rating</b>
Public Diplomacy	ECA	Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs in Near East Asia and South Asia	98% – Effective
Management Excellence	OBO	Capital Security Construction Program	97% – Effective
Public Diplomacy	ECA	Global Educational and Cultural Exchanges	97% – Effective
Regional Stability	AF	Security Assistance to Sub-Saharan Africa	97% – Effective
<b>Humanitarian Response</b>	<b>PRM</b>	<b>Migration and Refugee Assistance – Protection and Durable Solutions</b>	<b>96% – Effective</b>
Humanitarian Response	PRM	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees	96% – Effective
<b>Humanitarian Response</b>	<b>PRM</b>	<b>Migration and Refugee Assistance -- Other Population, Refugees and Migration Programs</b>	<b>93% – Effective</b>
Humanitarian Response	PM	Humanitarian Demining	93% – Effective
<b>Regional Stability</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>South Asia Military Assistance</b>	<b>93% – Effective</b>
Homeland Security	CA	Visa and Consular Services	92% – Effective
Management Excellence	DS	Worldwide Security Upgrades	92% – Effective
Humanitarian Response	PRM	Humanitarian Migrants to Israel	91% – Effective
Economic Prosperity and Security	IO	Contribution to the United Nations Development Programme	91% – Effective
Weapons of Mass Destruction	ISN	Export Control Assistance	90% – Effective
Regional Stability	WHA	Security Assistance for the Western Hemisphere	90% – Effective
Weapons of Mass Destruction	ISN	Nonproliferation and Disarmament Fund	89% – Effective
Democracy and Human Rights	EUR	Support for East European Democracy/Freedom Support Act	88% – Effective
Management Excellence	OBO	Non-Security Embassy Construction Program	86% – Effective
Regional Stability	IO	Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities	86% – Effective
Humanitarian Response	PRM	Refugee Admissions to the U.S.	86% – Effective
Counterterrorism	S/CT	Anti-Terrorism Assistance	85% – Effective
Counterterrorism	S/CT	Terrorist Interdiction Program	85% – Effective
Regional Stability	EUR	Peacekeeping Operations - Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe Programs	83% – Moderately Effective



<b>STATE PART PROGRAMS CY 2002 – 2005: SCORES AND RATINGS</b> (PART program descriptions and improvement plans are included in the Appendix)			
<b>Strategic Goal</b>	<b>Bureau</b>	<b>Program Name</b>	<b>Scores and Rating</b>
Regional Stability	EUR	Military Assistance to New NATO and NATO Aspirant Nations	80% – Moderately Effective
Weapons of Mass Destruction	ISN	Nonproliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction Expertise	79% – Moderately Effective
<b>Social and Environmental</b>	<b>S/GAC</b>	<b>The President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief - Focus Countries</b>	<b>73% – Adequate</b>
<b>Management Excellence</b>	<b>DS</b>	<b>Protection of Foreign Missions and Officials</b>	<b>72% – Moderately Effective</b>
Democracy and Human Rights	WHA	Economic Support Fund for the Western Hemisphere	71% – Moderately Effective
Democracy and Human Rights	DRL	Human Rights and Democracy Fund	67% – Adequate
<b>Management Excellence</b>	<b>RM</b>	<b>International Cooperative Administrative Support Services</b>	<b>65% – Adequate</b>
<b>Social and Environmental</b>	<b>S/GAC</b>	<b>The President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief - Global Fund</b>	<b>65% – Adequate</b>
Social and Environmental	OES	Contributions to International Fisheries Commissions	59% – Adequate
<b>Economic Prosperity &amp; Democracy and Human Rights</b>	<b>AF</b>	<b>Economic Support Fund for Africa</b>	<b>58% – Adequate</b>
<b>Social and Environmental</b>	<b>S/GAC</b>	<b>The President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief - Other Bilateral</b>	<b>58% – Adequate</b>
<b>Public Diplomacy</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>Public Diplomacy</b>	<b>56% – Adequate</b>
<b>International Crime and Drugs</b>	<b>INL</b>	<b>International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement: Africa/Asia</b>	<b>53% – Adequate</b>
International Crime and Drugs	INL	International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Programs, Western Hemisphere	53% – Adequate
International Crime and Drugs	INL	Andean Counterdrug Initiative	52% – Adequate
<b>Public Diplomacy</b>	<b>IIP</b>	<b>International Information Programs</b>	<b>50% – Adequate</b>

Programs highlighted in bold text were assessed in CY 2005.