

## Exhibit 300: Part I: Summary Information and Justification (All Capital Assets)

## I.A. Overview

<b>1. Date of Submission:</b>	7/14/2006
<b>2. Agency:</b>	Department of State
<b>3. Bureau:</b>	IRM/OPS/MSO Messaging Systems
<b>4. Name of this Capital Asset:</b>	Telegram System
<b>5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)</b>	014-00-01-04-01-1390-00
<b>6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&amp;M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&amp;M. These investments should indicate their current status.)</b>	Operations and Maintenance
<b>7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?</b>	FY2001 or earlier
<b>8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:</b>	
<p>The Department of State "telegram" is defined and implemented as the core instrument for articulating official actions and for sharing information within the Department and with the USG Foreign Affairs community. Telegrams are text messages that are created, distributed and archived within parameters and processing controls that ensure the integrity, security, authority, retention, timeliness and delivery of each message. As a communications capability, the telegram is inseparable from the host of systems and network infrastructure and services that support this function. IRM is responsible for creating and maintaining this infrastructure. The Telegram System Project is a Steady State project with the operations and maintenance (O&amp;M) effort located in the IRM/OPS Messaging Systems Office. The steady state operations will continue until the need for these services is no longer required or a new state-of-the-art system has been identified, tested, and fully implemented. Ongoing enhancements and updates to the current domestic and overseas telegram system will continue for the foreseeable future. In addition to their other functions, four MSO Divisions (Main State Messaging System, Special Messaging Operations, Beltsville Regional Relay, and Messaging Systems Products) operate and maintain various components of the Department's telegram infrastructure.</p>	
<b>9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?</b>	Yes
<b>a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?</b>	8/4/2006
<b>10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?</b>	Yes
<b>12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.</b>	Yes
<b>a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?</b>	Yes
<b>b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)</b>	No
<b>1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?</b>	No

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	No
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all that apply:	Expanded E-Government, Competitive Sourcing, Right Sized Overseas Presence
13a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?	1. Create easy-to-find single points of access to government services for employees, citizens, businesses, and other governments 2. Reduce the reporting burden on businesses 3. Share information quicker and conveniently between federal, state, local and tribal government 4. Automate internal processes to reduce costs internally, within the federal government, by disseminating best practices across agencies
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit <a href="http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.">www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.</a> )	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?	No
b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?	
c. If "yes," what PART rating did it receive?	
15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes
If the answer to Question: "Is this investment for information technology?" was "Yes," complete this sub-section. If the answer is "No," do not answer this sub-section.	
For information technology investments only:	
16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 2
17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance):	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?	No
19. Is this a financial management system?	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMI A compliance area?	No
1. If "yes," which compliance area:	N/A
2. If "no," what does it address?	
b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52	
20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)	
Hardware	8
Software	12

Services	80
Other	0
21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	N/A

**I.D. Performance Information**

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

**Performance Information Table 1:**

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2003	Strategic Goal 11: Management and Institutional Reform - Ensure a high quality workforce supported by modern and secure infrastructure and operational capacities/IT	Increase the daily electronic distribution of telegrams to 4,200 (70%) of baseline because electronic distribution offers economies and enhances productivity over paper distribution	Some residual paper distribution exists at Domestic and overseas locations, resulting in inefficiencies and lower productivity; 3,600 (60%) of the Department's daily paper and electronic telegrams were delivered electronically in FY2002	Number of telegrams electronically distributed in one day	On average 4,320 (72%) telegrams are distributed electronically every day
2003	Strategic Goal 11: Management and Institutional Reform - Ensure a high quality workforce supported by modern and secure infrastructure and operational capacities/IT	Steady state effort; Management seeks to sustain service output with the current levels of performance and support	Mature system with no major enhancements and/or modified product delivery requirements; Provide reliable global communications support for command and control, research and analysis, and policy/process dissemination	a. Number of employee and Foreign Affairs agency customers served; b. Percentage of system uptime; scheduled support hours for global coverage; c. Number of identified errors and average message delivery time of messages	On average, a. the number of customers served, b. the percentage of system uptime, and c. number of identified errors and average message delivery time remained the same; 100% of prior year's performance levels were maintained
2004	Strategic Goal 11: Management and Institutional Reform - Ensure a high quality workforce	Increase average daily electronic distribution of telegrams to 4,800 (80%) of	An average of 4,320 (72%) of the Department's paper and electronic telegrams baseline	Increase the number of telegrams electronically distributed each day	An average of 5,100 (85%) of daily telegrams distributed electronically; goal achieved.

	supported by modern and secure infrastructure and operational capacities/IT	baseline because electronic distribution offers economies and enhances productivity over paper distribution	were delivered electronically each day in FY2003		
2004	Strategic Goal 11: Management and Institutional Reform - Ensure a high quality workforce supported by modern and secure infrastructure and operational capacities/IT	Steady state effort. Management seeks to sustain service output with the current levels of performance and support	Mature system with no major enhancements and/or modified product delivery requirements. Provide reliable global communications support for command and control, research and analysis, and policy/process dissemination	Number of employee and Foreign Affairs agency customers served; percentage of system uptime; scheduled support hours for global coverage; identified errors and average message delivery time of messages	On average, a. the number of customers served, b. the percentage of system uptime, and c. number of identified errors and average message delivery time remained the same; 100% of prior year's performance levels were maintained

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at [www.egov.gov](http://www.egov.gov).

Performance Information Table 2:

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	Number of EACT installations	Improve electronic delivery to 40 small posts	40 sites will have their ACT systems replaced with Enhanced ACT (EACT) systems	EACT installed at 63 sites
2005	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	Percent of NRRC Operations retained	99% of NRRC Operations retained	100% NRRC Operations retained	99% of NRRC Operations retained
2005	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Record Retention	Percent of messages retained	100% of official messages retained	Retain 100% of official messages	99.5% of messages retained
2005	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Percent of telegrams delivered electronically	On average 6,000 official messages are delivered each day	Maintain the baseline; Increase the average number of telegram deliveries made electronically to 5,250 (87.5%)	Baseline service levels maintained; An average of 5,250 (87.5%) telegrams were delivered electronically
2005	Technology	Efficiency	Load levels	Percent of reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Percent reduction in current bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	70% reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Planned improvement goal met
2006	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	Number of EACT installations	Small posts/sites are supported with outdated ACT and SEP systems	Additional ACT/SEP sites will have their systems replaced with Enhanced ACT (EACT) Systems	EACT installed at 75 sites as of 7/18/2006. All posts are now converted. Additional sites will be considered for installation on a case-by case basis.
2006	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	Percent of NRRC Operations retained	99% of NRRC Operations retained	100% of NRRC Operations retained	99.1% of NRRC Operations retained

2006	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Record Retention	Percent of messages retained	100% of official messages retained	Retain 100% of official messages	99.6% of messages retained
2006	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Percent of telegrams delivered electronically	On average 6,000 official messages are delivered each day	Maintain the baseline; Increase the average number of telegram deliveries made electronically to 5,400 (90%)	Baseline service levels maintained; An average of 5,400 (90%) telegrams were delivered electronically as of 6/30/2006
2006	Technology	Efficiency	Load levels	Percent of reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Percent reduction in current bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	75% reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Work continues to meet planned improvement goal of 75%
2007	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	Number of EACT installations	Small posts/sites are supported with outdated ACT and SEP systems	Additional ACT/SEP sites will have their systems replaced with Enhanced ACT (EACT) Systems	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	Percent of NRRC Operations retained	99% of NRRC Operations retained	100% of NRRC Operations retained	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Record Retention	Percent of messages retained	100% of official messages retained	Retain 100% of official messages	
2007	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Percent of telegrams delivered electronically	On average 6,000 official messages are delivered each day	Maintain the baseline; Increase the average number of telegram deliveries made electronically to 5,550 (92.5%)	
2007	Technology	Efficiency	Load levels	Percent of reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Percent reduction in current bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	77.5% reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	
2008	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	Number of EACT installations	Small posts/sites are supported with outdated ACT and SEP systems	Additional ACT/SEP sites will have their systems replaced with Enhanced ACT (EACT) Systems	
2008	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Delivery Time	Percent of speed of service (SOS) for all messages	On average, Speed of service objectives are met for 91% of all messages processed	Maintain the baseline, increase the average for meeting SOS objectives to 93%	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	Percent of NRRC Operations retained	99% of NRRC Operations retained	100% of NRRC Operations retained	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Record Retention	Percent of messages retained	100% of official messages retained	Retain 100% of official messages	
2008	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Percent of telegrams delivered electronically	On average 6,000 official messages are delivered each day	Maintain the baseline; Increase the average number of telegram deliveries made electronically to 5,700 (95%)	
2008	Technology	Efficiency	Load levels	Percent of reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	Percent reduction in current bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	80% reduction in bandwidth for Non-TERP V sites	

**I.E. Security and Privacy**

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

All systems supporting and/or part of this investment should be included in the tables below, inclusive of both agency owned systems and contractor systems. For IT investments under development, security and privacy planning must proceed in parallel with the development of the system/s to ensure IT security and privacy requirements and costs are identified and incorporated into the overall lifecycle of the system/s.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:	Yes
a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:	10
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.	Yes

5. Have any weaknesses related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?	No
a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated agency's plan of action and milestone process?	No
6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?	No
a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.	

**8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:**

Name of System	Is this a new system?	Is there a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) that covers this system?	Is the PIA available to the public?	Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system?	Was a new or amended SORN published in FY 06?
Telegram System	No	No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information.	No, because a PIA is not yet required to be completed at this time.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

**I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA)**

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Telegram System, Telegram Delivery System (TDS)

b. If "no," please explain why?

**3. Service Reference Model (SRM) Table:**

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused Name	FEA Service Component Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Classification			No Reuse	20
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	20
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Back Office Services	Human Capital / Workforce Management	Team / Org Management			No Reuse	20
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Support Services	Collaboration	Task Management			No Reuse	10

Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Support Services	Collaboration	Threaded Discussions			No Reuse	10
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Support Services	Communication	Community Management			No Reuse	10
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Support Services	Communication	Event / News Management			No Reuse	5
Messaging and Email Services	The set of capabilities that support keyboard conferencing and the electronic exchange of messages, record traffic, correspondence, documents, or other information over a network or the internet.	Support Services	Search	Precision / Recall Ranking			No Reuse	5

Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

#### 4. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e. vendor or product name)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	VB Script (Microsoft)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	Visual Basic (Microsoft)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	C, C++: C is a procedure programming language. C++ is an object-oriented version of C that has been widely used to develop enterprise and commercial applications.

Data Classification	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Data Access Objects (DAO)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Object Linking and Embedding/Database (OLE/DB)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Open Database Connectivity (ODBC)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	eXtensible HTML (XHTML)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Secure Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME)
Data Classification	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Secure Shell (SSH)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Electronic Mail (E-mail)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Facsimile (Fax)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	System to System
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Uniform Resource Locator (URL)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Web Service
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer (Microsoft)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Internet Protocol (IP)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	IP Security (IPSEC)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Transport Control Protocol (TCP)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Domain Name System (DNS)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Internet Message Access Protocol / Post Office Protocol (IMAP / POP3)

Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP)
Task Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	X.400
Data Classification	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	Database Access: ISQL/w
Data Classification	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	Application Program Interface (API) / Protocol
Task Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle (Oracle)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	SQL Server (Microsoft)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Network-Attached Storage (NAS)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Storage Area Network (SAN)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Internet Information Server (Microsoft)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Hard Disk Drive
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Microprocessor
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Random Access Memory (RAM)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Redundant Array of Independent Disks (RAID)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Ethernet
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Virtual LAN (VLAN)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Digital Subscriber Line (DSL)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Firewall
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Gateway
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Hub
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Network Interface Card (NIC)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Router

Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Switch
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	T1/T3
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Transceivers
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	Printer
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	Scanner
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Enterprise Server
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Mainframe
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	Frame Relay
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Visual Studio (Microsoft)
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Change Management
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Deployment Management
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Issue Management
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Task Management
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Version Management
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Configuration Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Functional Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Installation Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Load/Stress/Volume Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Reliability Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Security and Access Control Testing
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Usability Testing (508 Testing)
Task Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	Windows 2000/2003 (Microsoft)

Task Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	Remote Satellite Messaging
-----------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system? No

a. If "yes," does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

1. If "yes," provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

**Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)**

**III.A. Risk Management**

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operation and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 9/23/2005

b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

**2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?**

**a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?**

**b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?**