DECLARATION OF DANIEL FRIED

I, Daniel Fried, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare and say as follows:

1. I have been the Special Envoy for the Closure of the Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility since accepting my appointment on May 15, 2009. In my capacity as Special Envoy, I engage in diplomatic dialogue with foreign governments concerning the repatriation and/or resettlement of individuals who are detained at the U.S. detention facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. My position was established in order to intensify diplomatic efforts to arrange for the repatriation or resettlement of individuals approved for such disposition under the review procedures established by Executive Order 13,492, which was signed by President Obama on January 22, 2009. Prior to accepting these appointments, I was the Department of State’s Assistant Secretary for European and Eurasian Affairs from May 2005-May 2009 and the Special Assistant to the President and National Security Council (NSC) Senior Director for European and Eurasian Affairs from January 2001-May 2005. I also served as Ambassador to Poland from 1997-2000 and, starting in 1977 when I entered the Foreign Service, in various positions at the State Department, at overseas posts, and at the NSC.

2. On January 22, 2009, the President of the United States signed Executive Order 13,492, which ordered that the detention facilities at Guantanamo shall be closed as soon as practicable, and no later than one year from the date of the order. As a result, the Guantanamo Review Task Force was created in order to determine whether the individuals held in the detention facility at Guantanamo should be returned to their home country, released, transferred to a third country, or transferred to another U.S. detention facility in a manner consistent with law and the national security and foreign policy interests of the United States. To that end, the
Executive Order commands "a prompt and thorough review of the factual and legal bases for the
continued detention of all individuals currently held at [Guantanamo Bay]" to determine whether
each detainee can be transferred or released, prosecuted for criminal conduct, or provided
another lawful disposition consistent with "the national security and foreign policy interests of
the United States and the interests of justice." Id. at §§ 2(d).

3. As Special Envoy, my primary task is to implement the mission set forth in Executive
Order 13,492 of finding dispositions for individuals who are approved for repatriation or
resettlement in a manner that is consistent with the national security and foreign policy interests
of the United States, and that will allow the U.S. government to achieve the closure of the
Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility as soon as practicable. In this task, I am guided by the U.S.
government's policies with respect to post-transfer security and post-transfer humane treatment,
including the policy that the U.S. government will not transfer individuals to countries where it
has determined that they are more likely than not to be tortured. In light of these policies, there
are certain individuals who have been (or will be) approved for transfer out of U.S. custody but
who the U.S. Government determines cannot be safely and/or responsibly returned to their home
countries.

4. Of particular concern to the Department of State is the question of whether the foreign
government concerned will treat the detainee humanely, in a manner consistent with its
international obligations, and will not persecute the individual on the basis of his race, religion,
nationality, membership in a social group, or political opinion. The Department is particularly
mindful of the longstanding policy of the United States not to transfer a person to a country if it
determines that it is more likely than not that the person will be tortured or, in appropriate cases,
that the person has a well-founded fear of persecution and would not be disqualified from persecution protection on criminal- or security-related grounds. This policy is consistent with the approach taken by the United States in implementing the Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and the Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees. The Department of State works closely with relevant agencies to advise on the likelihood of persecution or torture in a given country and the adequacy and credibility of assurances obtained from a particular foreign government prior to any transfer.

5. The Department of State generally has responsibility for communications on transfer-related matters between the United States and foreign governments. The Department of State receives requests from foreign governments for the transfer of detainees and forwards such requests to the Guantanamo Review Task Force and the Department of Defense for coordination with appropriate Departments and agencies of the United States Government. The Department of State also conveys requests from the United States to foreign governments to accept the transfer of their nationals. In cases where approved detainees cannot be transferred to their countries of nationality because of humane treatment concerns, the Department of State communicates with foreign governments to explore third-country resettlement possibilities. Numerous countries have been approached to date with respect to various detainees who fall within this category, and the U.S. Government has had success in resettling in third countries detainees with no prior legal ties to that location (including Albania, Belgium, Bermuda, France, Ireland, Palau, and Portugal).

6. Once a detainee has been approved for transfer through the processes of the Guantanamo Review Task Force, my office generally takes the lead in discussions with the
foreign government concerned or, where repatriation is not an available option because of humane treatment concerns or for other reasons, with third country governments where resettlement might be appropriate. The primary purpose of these discussions is to learn what measures the receiving government is likely to take to ensure that the detainee will not pose a continuing threat to the United States or its allies, including resettlement arrangements, and to obtain appropriate transfer assurances. My office seeks assurances that the United States Government considers necessary and appropriate for the country in question. Among the assurances sought in every transfer case in which security measures or (in fewer cases, detention) by the government concerned are foreseen or possible is the assurance of humane treatment and treatment in accordance with the international obligations of the foreign government accepting transfer. The Department of State considers whether the State in question is party to the relevant treaties, such as the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, and ensures that assurances are tailored accordingly if the State concerned is not a party or other circumstances warrant.

7. Decisions with respect to disposition of Guantanamo detainees are made on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the particular circumstances of the transfer, the receiving country, the individual concerned, and any concerns regarding torture or persecution either extant or that may arise. Recommendations by the Department of State are decided at senior levels through a process involving Department officials most familiar with international legal standards and obligations and the conditions in the countries concerned. Within the Department of State, my office, together with the Office of the Legal Adviser, the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor, and the relevant regional bureau, normally evaluate foreign
government assurances in light of the circumstances of the individual concerned and the overall record of the country in question with respect to human rights and other relevant issues. The views of the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor, which drafts the U.S. Government’s annual Human Rights Reports,¹ and of the relevant regional bureau, country desk, or U.S. Embassy are important in evaluating foreign government assurances and any individual fear of persecution or torture claims, because they are knowledgeable about matters such as human rights, prison conditions, and prisoners’ access to counsel both in general and as they may apply to a particular case in the foreign country concerned, and knowledgeable as well as to particular information about the entity or individual that is offering the assurance in any particular case and as to relevant background about any allegations of mistreatment that may have surfaced in connection with past transfers to the country in question. If deemed appropriate, my office and other relevant offices brief the Secretary or other Department Principals before finalizing the position of the Department of State.

8. The essential question in evaluating foreign government assurances relating to humane treatment is whether, taking into account these assurances and the totality of other relevant factors relating to the individual and the government in question, the competent Department of State officials believe it is more likely than not that the individual will be tortured in the country to which he is being transferred. In determining whether it is "more likely than not" that an individual would be tortured, the United States takes into account the treatment the individual is likely to receive upon transfer, including, inter alia, the expressed commitments of officials

¹ The Human Rights Reports are the official State Department reports to Congress on human rights conditions in individual countries for a given year as mandated by law (sections 116(d) and 502(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, and section 505(c) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended).
from the foreign government accepting transfer. When evaluating the adequacy of any assurances, Department officials consider the identity, position, or other information concerning the official relaying the assurances, and political or legal developments in the foreign country concerned that would provide context (and credibility) for the assurances provided. Department officials may also consider U.S. diplomatic relations with the country concerned when evaluating assurances. For instance, Department officials may make a judgment regarding foreign government’s incentives and capacities to fulfill its assurances to the United States, including the importance to the government concerned of maintaining good relations and cooperation with the United States. In an appropriate case, the Department of State may also consider seeking the foreign government’s assurance of access by governmental or non-governmental entities in the country concerned to monitor the condition of an individual returned to that country, or of U.S. Government access to the individual for such purposes. In instances in which the United States transfers an individual subject to assurances, it would pursue any credible report and take appropriate action if it had reason to believe that those assurances would not be, or had not been, honored. We take seriously past practices by governments. In an instance in which specific concerns about the treatment an individual may receive cannot be resolved satisfactorily, we have in the past and would in the future recommend against transfer, consistent with the United States policy.

9. The Department of State’s ability to seek and obtain assurances from a foreign government depends in part on the Department’s ability to treat its dealings with the foreign government with discretion. This is especially the case with respect to issues having to do with detainees at the Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility. Consistent with the diplomatic sensitivities
that surround the Department’s communications with foreign governments concerning allegations relating to torture, the Department of State does not unilaterally make public the specific assurances or other precautionary measures obtained in order to avoid the chilling effects of making such discussions public and the possible damage to our ability to conduct foreign relations. Seeking assurances may be seen as raising questions about the requesting State’s institutions or commitment to the rule of law, even in cases where the assurances are sought to highlight the issue for the country concerned and satisfy the Department that the country is aware of the concerns raised and is in a position to undertake a commitment of humane treatment of a particular individual. There also may be circumstances where it may be important to protect sources of information (such as sources within a foreign government) about a government’s willingness or capability to abide by assurances concerning humane treatment or relevant international obligations.

10. If the Department were required to disclose outside appropriate Executive branch channels its communications with a foreign government relating to particular mistreatment or torture concerns, that government, as well as other governments, would likely be reluctant in the future to communicate frankly with the United States concerning such issues. I know from experience that the delicate diplomatic exchange that is often required in these sensitive contexts cannot occur effectively except in a confidential setting. Later review in a public forum of the Department’s dealings with a particular foreign government regarding Guantanamo detainee transfer matters would seriously undermine our ability to investigate allegations of mistreatment or torture that come to our attention and to reach acceptable accommodations with other governments to address those important concerns.
11. The Department’s recommendation concerning transfer relies heavily on the facts and analyses provided by various offices within the Department, including its Embassies. Confidentiality is often essential to ensure that the advice and analysis provided by these offices are useful and informative for the decision-maker. If those offices are expected to provide candid and useful assessments, they normally need to know that their reports will not later be publicly disclosed or brought to the attention of officials and others in the foreign States with which they deal on a regular basis. Such disclosure could chill important sources of information and could interfere with the ability of our foreign relations personnel to interact effectively with foreign State officials.

12. The Executive Branch, and in particular the Department of State, has the tools to obtain and evaluate assurances of humane treatment, to make recommendations about whether transfers can be made consistent with U.S. government policy on humane treatment, and where appropriate to follow up with receiving governments on compliance with those assurances. The Department of State has used these tools in the past to facilitate transfers in a responsible manner that comports with the policies described herein. Judicial review of the diplomatic dialogue between the U.S. Government and other governments concerning the terms of transfer, or of the ultimate decision to effect a transfer to a given country, risks undermining the ability of the U.S Government to speak with one voice on Guantanamo transfer issues. This is critical as we continue to seek to reduce the number of detainees in the Guantanamo detention facility and move toward the day when the facility can be closed altogether.
I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 25, 2009.

[Signature]

Daniel Fried