

FY 2013  
Congressional Budget  
Justification  
For State Operations

Discontinued Indicators



# FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

## INTRODUCTION

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires agencies to publish results for performance indicators no longer being used by the agency in its annual performance report to the public. The Department of State has made great strides to develop relevant, measurable, outcome indicators of performance to better gauge progress towards its Strategic Goals. In line with the QDDR recommendations, the Department of State and USAID have set out to better integrate planning and budgeting. To that end, the Department will attempt to capture a greater representation of representative indicators across Bureaus for forthcoming performance plans and attempt to minimize discontinuation of established indicators. For FY 2011, due to the changes to its strategic goals, the inclusion of evaluation into State's programs, an effort to improve quality, and a greater endeavor to link indicators to resource allocations, the 28 indicators and performance data listed below are proposed for discontinuation in the State Department's FY 2011 Annual Performance Report. The 28 proposed indicators relate to all Strategic Goals except the new SG 2, in particular five indicators from Strategic Goal 1; ten from Strategic Goal 3; one indicator from Strategic Goal 4; one indicator from Strategic Goal 5; two indicators from Strategic Goal 6; and nine from Strategic Goal 7.

<b>Strategic Goal 1: Counter threats to the United States and the international order, and advance civilian security around the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Average rating denoting degree to which all United Nations peacekeeping missions funded through the contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities Account (CIPA) achieve US Government objectives stated in the department's Congressional Budget Justification for the corresponding fiscal year.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target	◄► On Target	▲ Above Target	◄► On Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	NA			
FY 2012 Target	2.5			
FY 2011 Target	2.5			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> 2.5			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 2.7			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> 2.5 (Target = 2.5)			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 2.60 (Target = 2.0)			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 2.23 (Target = 2.0)			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

Reason for Discontinuation	The Bureau of International Organization Affairs currently submits two separate and additional peacekeeping indicators which successfully capture and reflect the data in the discontinued indicator.
----------------------------	---

<b>Strategic Goal 1: Counter threats to the United States and the international order, and advance civilian security around the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Number of bilateral and multilateral joint military exercises in the Near East region.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	Baseline 85	▼ Below Target	▲ Above Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	85-57			
FY 2012 Target	85-87			
FY 2011 Target	85-87			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b>			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 113			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Improved But Not Met</b> 77			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 85 [Baseline]			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	Indicator was removed from the Bureau's FY 2013 BSRP due to changing circumstances in the region. NEA will look at this going forward for FY 2014 OMB Submission to see if there is a suitable replacement.			

<b>Strategic Goal 1: Counter threats to the United States and the international order, and advance civilian security around the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Numeric assessment of Sudan in Failed States Index created by the Fund for Peace.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	N/A	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2013 Target	110
FY 2012 Target	110.6
FY 2011 Target	111.8
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 108.7
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 111.8
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 112.4 [Baseline]
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 113.0
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 113.7
Reason for Discontinuation	Following the independence of South Sudan in July 2011, this indicator no longer conveys the political, economic, or social landscape of the two countries or U.S. diplomatic priorities. A new indicator reflecting the emergence of the two states is included in the performance section of the chapter on the Bureau of African Affairs.

<b>Strategic Goal 1: Counter threats to the United States and the international order, and advance civilian security around the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Political Stability, Rule of Law and Control of Corruption in Yemen, as measured by the World Bank Governance Indicators.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	12; 22; 34			
FY 2011 Target	10; 20; 32			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> N/A			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b>			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b>			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: NA</b> 5.7; 18.7; 27.1			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 8.2; 18.6; 31.4
Reason for Discontinuation	The degree of stability in Yemen is being covered with a different indicator that better tracks issues of importance to the United States.

<b>Strategic Goal 1: Counter threats to the United States and the international order, and advance civilian security around the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Regional cooperation in law enforcement between South and Central Asia increases, particularly in cases involving illicit cross-border transit of goods, drugs, criminals, terrorists and weapons of mass destruction.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	◀▶ On Target	◀▶ On Target	NA
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Target	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establishment of more single customs and border checkpoint facilities at smaller checkpoints along Tajik – Afghan border to improve traffic flow and coordination.</li> <li>• Harmonized customs regulations and best practices inspection methods facilitate increased trade volumes across South and Central Asia borders. Measure against 2010 standards.</li> <li>• Establishment of an integrated response network for detection and interdiction of smuggled nuclear material.</li> <li>• CARICC serves as basis for joint exercises in smuggling patterns including participation from European Drug Enforcement Agencies.</li> <li>• Drug Enforcement Agency positions expand operations in the region.</li> <li>• - International Law Enforcement Academy training provided to all countries coordination strategies for disrupting terrorist and narcotics trafficking communications.</li> </ul>			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: On Target</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tajik Drug Control Agency operating joint U.S./UN-funded Drug Liaison Office in Taloqan, Afghanistan, which has been instrumental in significant drug seizures.</li> <li>• Concluded co-financing agreements with Asian Development Bank for reconstruction of border crossing points at Kulma and Kizil-Art.</li> <li>• Several SCA countries participated in Export Control and Related Border Security training efforts, including WMD commodity identification and advanced detection training.</li> <li>• DEA has office in Almaty and liaison posted in CARICC.</li> <li>• Joint operations conducted by CARICC member states working together or with outside governments, leading to several seizures.</li> </ul> <p>ILEA provided training to 58 law enforcement officials from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan in terrorism, narcotics trafficking, and other transnational threats.</p>			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2009 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: On Target</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CARICC (Central Asia Regional Information and Coordination Center) is fully operational and regional officers assigned to the center are exchanging relevant law enforcement information.</li> <li>• In May 2009, Sri Lanka co-hosted with the UN a regional export control seminar attended by all South Asian nations that produced national action plans to improve export controls and enhance targeting and enforcement practices by customs and border officials.</li> <li>• Countries continue to participate actively in International Law Enforcement Training Academy seminars sponsored by the Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INL) -- including a number of joint training exercises among Central Asian participants.</li> <li>• SCA is continuing to work to expand Drug Enforcement Agency presence overseas, particularly in South Asia.</li> </ul>
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• World Customs Organization developed and deployed, in concert with the Department of Homeland Security, a series of online, interactive training modules on trade facilitation best practices and integrity awareness training.</li> <li>• Agreement establishing the Central Asian Regional Information Coordination Center entered into force and opened with initial staffing from SCA countries Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan.</li> <li>• WMD cooperative training and outreach efforts on detection and interdiction are active throughout Central Asia, Afghanistan, Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka.</li> <li>• Tajikistan has placed Drug Liaison Officers in Afghanistan; a variety of joint drug interdiction training exercises involving Central Asian countries, Afghanistan, and Russia were conducted under the auspices of the NATO-Russia Council.</li> </ul>
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ILEA hosted students from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan.</li> <li>• 80% Anti-Terrorism Assistance target met. Training conducted in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, India, Nepal, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh. Continuing training for Afghan Presidential Protective Service, Pakistan's Federal Investigative Agency.</li> <li>• Central Asia Regional Information Coordination Center completed in Kazakhstan.</li> <li>• Expanded U.S. WMD detection and interdiction training to Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka.</li> <li>• Completed World Customs Organization Assessments for Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, India, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Maldives and Bhutan.</li> <li>• Successful Regional Cooperation Exercise in Kazakhstan. Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan participated with Afghanistan as observer.</li> </ul>
Reason for Discontinuation	The selected indicator was not representative of overall bureau priorities and discontinued in the FY 2012 CBJ. The Bureau will instead use indicators in the Foreign Assistance Justification to assess progress in this area.

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Ability of civil society organizations, including faith-based and workers' rights organizations, trade unions, and NGOs, to operate despite restrictions and with minimal government interference.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
TARGETS AND RESULTS				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	DRL will aim to improve civil society organizations' ability to operate without significant government harassment in 15% of the countries listed in this goal, as measured by required reporting in sections 2.b and 5 of the HRRs, and in any available UNHRC UPR reporting.			
FY 2011 Target	DRL will aim to improve civil society organizations' ability to operate without significant government harassment in 12% of the countries listed in this goal, as measured by required reporting in sections 2.b and 5 of the HRRs, and in any available UNHRC UPR reporting.			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data not available			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b>			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> New indicator; no results to report for FY2009			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 11.5 percent			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 4.1 percent [Baseline]			
Reason for Discontinuation	DRL discontinued using this indicator as a proxy for improved civil liberties because the methodology and data source did not offer the best precision and timeliness to present a fair illustration of performance.			

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Improved democratic governance including improved elections, held on schedule at multiple levels of government within the next five years where the opposition are able to campaign without being subject to harassment and which take place with a full range of domestic and international observers.			
PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
TARGETS AND RESULTS				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	The July presidential and legislative elections in Mexico and the December presidential elections in Kenya are conducted on schedule with full opposition participation and a complete range of observers			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2011 Target	The April presidential, legislative, and gubernatorial elections in Nigeria, are conducted on schedule with full opposition participation and a complete range of observers
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> data not available
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> N/A
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> N/A
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 19 percent
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> [Baseline 2008]
Reason for Discontinuation	DRL discontinued using this indicator as its focus principally on electoral processes presented a skewed perspective on the Department's efforts to support improved democratic governance. Using the Freedom of Press as a data source was insufficient for the opposition's ability to campaign.

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Level of corruption in China as measured by the World Bank's Control of Corruption percentile rank.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▼ Below Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	36.2			
FY 2012 Target	46			
FY 2011 Target	45			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data expected August 2012			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Below Target</b> 32.5			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 37.8			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 41.3 [Baseline]			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 33.8			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

Reason for Discontinuation	The Department has replaced this indicator with a better measurement of the long-term economic outcomes the United States seeks in China. Corruption, while important, does not support the top U.S. economic policy priorities in China.
----------------------------	---

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Level of Government Effectiveness and Control of Corruption in Afghanistan as measured by the World Bank's Government Effectiveness (GE) and Control of Corruption (CC) governance scores.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	NA
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Not yet available			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> Data available end of 2010			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> -1.31 GE; -1.64 CC			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> -1.29 GE; -1.53 CC			
Reason for Discontinuation	The selected indicator was not representative of overall bureau priorities. The Bureau will instead use indicators in the Foreign Assistance Justification to assess progress in this area.			

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Number of countries that meet criteria for Food Security Phase 2 funding			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

TARGETS AND RESULTS	
FY 2013 Target	N/A
FY 2012 Target	5
FY 2011 Target	2-3
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b>
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> [Baseline]
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A
Reason for Discontinuation	The QDDR made USAID the lead agency for the Feed the Future global hunger and food security initiative. As such, USAID is responsible for indicators related to implementation of Feed the Future programming.

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Number of work programs established by partner economies leading to completion of 20 Low Emission Development Strategies that contain concrete actions by 2013.			
PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	◀▶ On Target	▲ Above Target
TARGETS AND RESULTS				
FY 2013 Target	U.S. assistance to support the development of LEDS will be on track to reach 20 countries by the end of 2013.			
FY 2012 Target	At least 12 agreed work programs established for supporting LEDS development. (cumulative)			
FY 2011 Target	Engagements or interest statements from 10 partner economies and 7 agreed work programs established for supporting LEDS development. (numbers are cumulative)			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> [Target has changed, per discussion with OMB in the context of the climate change High Priority Performance Goal; it should read "Engagements or interest statements from 10 partner economies and 2 agreed work programs established for supporting LEDS development"] In FY 2011, the Department secured 11 statements of engagement or interest and finalized 2 agreed work programs.			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> The U.S. Government had engagement with, or received interest statements from, 7 partner economies, including: Bangladesh, India, Mexico, Georgia, Indonesia, Gabon, and Colombia.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> New program. Baseline: zero engagements or interest statements from partner economies, zero agreed work programs established for supporting LEDS development.
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A
Reason for Discontinuation	This indicator was discontinued, and a new one started, to reflect the transition from the High Priority Performance Goal to the Agency Priority Goal for climate change.

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Progress in negotiating and implementing an agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions and avoid dangerous human interference with the climate system as demonstrated by key negotiation milestones and status of 2020 action commitments by the major economies.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	Long term indicator			
FY 2012 Target	Long term indicator			
FY 2011 Target	Long term indicator			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> Long term indicator.			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
Reason for Discontinuation	This indicator was discontinued in response to a request for a greater emphasis on quantitative indicators.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Progress in political rights as measured by the percentage of non-democratic countries and countries undergoing democratic transitions that improved by at least one point from the prior year according to the Political Rights dimension of Freedom House's Freedom of the World ratings.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▼ Below Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	12 percent improve			
FY 2011 Target	12 percent improve			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> data not available			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data available January 2011			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Below Target</b> 6.7% improve; 10.5% worsen.			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 7.6% improve; 7.6% worsen.			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 5.7% improve; 11.4% worsen.			
Reason for Discontinuation	This performance indicator measured progress at a level too high to determine attribution of the Department's diplomatic or program efforts.			

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Progress on implementing good governance reforms as measured by the percentage of non-democratic countries and countries undergoing democratic transitions that improved by at least 0.1 points from the prior year according to average ratings from the World Bank Governance indicators.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2013 Target	N/A
FY 2012 Target	N/A
FY 2011 Target	15 percent
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> data not available
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> N/A
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data Available June 2010
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 10.2 percent
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 6.5 percent
Reason for Discontinuation	DRL elected to replace this broad contextual indicator with measures that reflect directly the Department's policy on democracy and human rights. In consultation with RM, DRL devised appropriate measures related to civil society, rule of law, economic opportunity, and political competition.

<b>Strategic Goal 3: Expand and sustain the ranks of prosperous, stable and democratic states by promoting effective, accountable, democratic governance; respect for human rights; sustainable, broad-based economic growth; and well-being</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Status of efforts to negotiate and operationalize an agreed international approach to climate change for the post-2012 period.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	◄► On Target	◄► On Target	◄► On Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	Standing up and initial implementation of the key mechanisms of the agreed international approach, such as the Green Climate Fund; Monitoring, Review, and Verification (MRV) regime; and Climate Technology Center and Network (CTC&N).			
FY 2012 Target	Operationalization of an agreed international approach to climate change, either under the UNFCCC or elsewhere, which integrates mitigation commitments from all major economies, integrates implementing and review mechanisms, and integrates a technology transfer mechanism to assist in developing and disseminating low carbon technologies among developing countries.			
FY 2011 Target	Development of implementing and review mechanisms under the UNFCCC for the agreed international approach to climate change.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2011 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: On Target</b> During preparatory meetings for the December 2011 UNFCCC Conference of Parties, negotiators have worked on developing implementing mechanisms for the approach agreed upon in Cancun, which built on and further elaborated the Copenhagen Accord. Such implementing mechanisms include Monitoring, Reporting and Verification of emissions reductions and a process of International Consultations and Analysis of developing country emissions and mitigation actions, the establishment of a "Green Fund," agreed-upon rules and policies for Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+) and establishment of a Climate Technology Center and Network. Further elaboration and implementation of these mechanisms is expected to take place in the lead-up to and during the COP in December, with the goal of reaching final agreement on those mechanisms.</p>
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: On Target</b> At the December 2009 UNFCCC Conference of Parties (COP-15) in Copenhagen, major developing economies such as China, India, Brazil, South Africa, and Indonesia joined developed nations for the first time in committing, through the Copenhagen Accord, to specific GHG emissions mitigation targets. Since COP-15, some 140 countries have "associated" themselves with the Accord and some 85 countries "inscribed" specific mitigation efforts they commit to undertake.</p>
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: On Target</b> At the July 2009 Major Economies Forum on Energy and Climate's (MEF) Leaders Meeting held on the heels of the L'Aquila, Italy G8 summit, 17 major economies agreed: to undertake transparent nationally appropriate mitigation action, subject to measurement, reporting and verification; to develop, disseminate, and transfer, as appropriate, adaptation effort-advancing technologies; to establish a Global Partnership to drive transformational low-carbon, climate friendly technologies; that financial resources for mitigation and adaptation need urgent and substantial scaling up and should involve mobilizing resources to support developing countries, and that financing should come from multiple sources, both public and private; to continue to both constructively combat global climate change and meet to facilitate an agreement.</p>
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> At the 2008 Leaders Meeting of Major Economies on Energy Security and Climate Change, held in conjunction with the Tokyo G-8 summit, 17 major economies agreed to consider cooperative action in specific economic sectors, enhance action on technology, improve energy efficiency, and work to improve greenhouse gas measurement in contribution to negotiations under the UNFCCC.</p>
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: N/A</b> In December 2007, the 13th Conference of the Parties (COP) to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) produced consensus on a "Roadmap" establishing a process and setting out guidance and direction for a series of meetings over the next two years, with the aim of producing comprehensive agreement on addressing climate change post-2012 at COP 15, in Copenhagen in December, 2009.</p>
Reason for Discontinuation	Preference for more discrete, quantitative, indicators rather than "milestone" indicators has led to this discontinuation.

Strategic Goal 4: Provide humanitarian assistance and support disaster mitigation				
Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner	Percentage of internally displaced persons and refugee returnees surveyed who responded that they feel safe in their location of return			
PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
TARGETS AND RESULTS				

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2013 Target	85%
FY 2012 Target	84%
FY 2011 Target	82%
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b>
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 80.4% [Baseline]
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 80.9%
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 65.2%
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 9.1%
Reason for Discontinuation	This is a PRM-owned indicator based on a survey completed by the International Organization on Migration. The survey questions have changed, so there is no FY 2011 Data for this question. For FY 2014, the bureaus will look for a replacement.

Strategic Goal 5: Support American prosperity through economic diplomacy				
Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner	DISCONTINUED: Energy consumption per capita in OECD countries measured in million British Thermal Units (BTUs).			
PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	▲ Above Target
TARGETS AND RESULTS				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Target	203.70M BTUs			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 240.7			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> 238.0			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> 203.08M BTUs (projected)			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 206.65M BTUs (projected)			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 208.09M BTUs			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

Reason for Discontinuation	When possible and appropriate, the Department is discontinuing milestone and output indicators in favor of outcome-oriented, quantitative measures.
----------------------------	---

<b>Strategic Goal 6: Advance U.S. interests and universal values through public diplomacy and programs that connect the United States and Americans to the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Level of outreach to key Arab media outlets, as measured by the number of interviews given by U.S. officials.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	◀▶ On Target	▼ Below Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Target	1180			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> TBD.			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Final number unavailable			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Below Target</b> 986			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> 1079			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 967 [Baseline]			
Reason for Discontinuation	This indicator is being dropped in favor of a more outcome orientated indicator.			

<b>Strategic Goal 6: Advance U.S. interests and universal values through public diplomacy and programs that connect the United States and Americans to the world</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	The number of ECA alumni who are registered members of the Alumni Affairs web community.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	83,510 users			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2012 Target	75,918 users
FY 2011 Target	69,017 users
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 82,582 registered members
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 62,743
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 51,376
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 35,113
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 29,448 [Baseline]
Reason for Discontinuation	While the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs continues to foster steady growth of the online exchange program alumni community, this quantitative output indicator was discontinued in the FY2012 Performance Plan in favor of other outcome indicators for the Department.

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Accuracy of the adjudication process as measured by the percentage of audited passport issuances found to have a high likelihood of Issuance in Error (IIE)			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	.2 percent			
FY 2011 Target	.2 percent			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data expected Sept. 2012			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Data expected Sept. 2012			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 0.2 % percent			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 0.2 percent [Baseline]			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	Due to the large sample size and intricacies of data collection, IIE data analysis often lags many years behind the planning year making it difficult to measure goal attainment in a timely manner.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>		Vacancy rate for overseas and domestic Foreign Service positions		
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▼ Below Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	12			
FY 2011 Target	14%			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Not available			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Below Target</b> 16%			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 16.2 % [Baseline]			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>			
Reason for Discontinuation	This indicator measured both overseas and domestic vacancy rates. This has been replaced by a new indicator that just measures the higher priority overseas vacancy rates. The overseas vacancy rate is also one of the Department's High Priority Performance Goals.			

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>		Percent of language designated positions in priority languages filled by employees who meet or exceed the language requirements.		
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▼ Below Target	Data Not Yet Available, No Rating
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	64%			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2011 Target	60%
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Data Not Yet Available, No Rating</b> Not available
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Below Target</b> 53%
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 54.9% [Baseline Year]
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>
Reason for Discontinuation	The indicator has been discontinued as the fill rate of the overall Language Designated Positions provides a broader indicator of the Department's overall language proficiency.

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Percent of medical reviews and clearances completed within 30 days.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▲ Above Target	NA
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	90 percent			
FY 2012 Target	89 percent			
FY 2011 Target	87 percent			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: NA</b>			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 86 percent			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 81 percent			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	The indicator "percentage of medical reviews and clearances completed within thirty days" is no longer relevant as MED has streamlined the process and increased the staff of medical professionals performing the clearance reviews. This has alleviated the backlog that formerly existed.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Percentage of all valid visas that meet current biometric standards			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	◀▶ On Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	N/A			
FY 2011 Target	52 percent			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> 52 percent			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 39 percent			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> 26 percent [Baseline]			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	All visas currently being issued include biometrics. The target ratings are tied to the expiration of older visas issued before biometric standards were introduced. The annual change in percentage is simply due to attrition that occurs as the old visas are no longer valid.			

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Percentage of major management systems integrated into the Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW).			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▼ Below Target	◀▶ On Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	73%			
FY 2012 Target	70 percent			
FY 2011 Target	67.5 percent.			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> On target			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Improved But Not Met</b> 42 percent
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 37.5 percent [Baseline]
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b>
Reason for Discontinuation	While the EDW is a priority for IRM and should continued to be reported to Senior Leadership, it does not link to a major budget or policy priority identified by the 7th floor. There are several other IT indicators that link to more significant priorities.

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>	Percentage of United Nations Specialized Agencies funded by the Contributions for International Organizations account (FAO, IAEA, ICAO, ILO, IMO, ITU, UNESCO, UPU, WHO, WIPO, and WMO) that have demonstrated progress on 5 or more goals of the United Nations Transparency and Accountability Initiative.			
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>
N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	N/A			
FY 2012 Target	100%			
FY 2011 Target	81%			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 90%			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 91%			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: Above Target</b> 72%			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b> 54%			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	Phase I of the United Nations Transparency and Accountability Initiative ended in 2012. Phase II was recently launched and new indicators will be developed to capture relevant data.			

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>		Ratio of Change between Cost/Seat and Rent, expressed as a factor.		
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	◀▶ On Target	◀▶ On Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				
FY 2013 Target	1 (or less)			
FY 2012 Target	1 (or less)			
FY 2011 Target	1 (or less)			
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> 0.8			
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: On Target</b> .9			
FY 2009 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b>			
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<b>Rating: N/A</b> N/A			
Reason for Discontinuation	RM asked the A Bureau to replace this indicator with one that more clearly portrays A's management of office space and is easier to understand. In consultation with RM, A replaced this with an indicator that addresses average utilization of office space/person in buildings in the D.C. area.			

<b>Strategic Goal 7: Build a 21st century workforce; and achieve U.S. government operational and consular efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and accountability; and a secure U.S. government presence internationally</b>				
<b>Discontinued Indicator and Bureau Owner</b>		Status of Domestic Facility Greening at the Department of State.		
<b>PRIOR YEAR RATINGS TREND</b>				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
N/A	N/A	New Indicator, No Rating	▲ Above Target	▲ Above Target
<b>TARGETS AND RESULTS</b>				

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2013 Target	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New leases will use the GSA "green" lease, and all leases shall be LEED-Silver (or equivalent) buildings. Equipment shall meet Energy Star standards (or equivalent), where applicable. LEED (or equivalent) documentation will be provided upon occupancy.</li> <li>• All building renovations exceeding 5,000 sq ft will be consistent with LEED-NC or –CI Silver, (or equivalent) certification or better. All renovations &gt;\$1M shall be at least LEED-CI or –NC Silver, with full documentation provided at the time of occupancy.</li> <li>• Fifty percent of owned/delegated facilities will be LEED-EB or GBI certified.</li> <li>• Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions (Scope 1 &amp; 2) reduction projections will be 6% of the 2008 baseline as required in the Department’s Sustainability Plan (submitted under Executive Order # 13514).</li> </ul>
FY 2012 Target	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New leases will use the GSA "green" lease, providing space in LEED-Silver (or equivalent) buildings and equipment meeting Energy Star standards (or equivalent), where applicable. LEED (or equivalent) documentation will be provided upon occupancy.</li> <li>• All building renovations exceeding 5,000 sq ft will be consistent with LEED-NC or –CI Silver, (or equivalent) certification or better. All renovations &gt;\$1M shall be at least LEED-CI or –NC Silver, with full documentation provided at the time of occupancy.</li> <li>• Forty percent of owned/delegated facilities will be LEED-EB or GBI certified.</li> <li>• Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions (Scope 1 &amp; 2) reduction projections will be 4% of the 2008 baseline as required in the Department’s Sustainability Plan (submitted under Executive Order # 13514).</li> </ul>
FY 2011 Target	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New leases will utilize the General Services Administration (GSA) "green" lease, providing space in LEED-Silver (or equivalent) buildings and equipment meeting Energy Star standards (or equivalent), where applicable.</li> <li>• All building renovations exceeding 5,000 sq ft will be consistent with LEED-New Construction (NC) or –Commercial Interior (CI) Silver, (or equivalent) certification or better.</li> <li>• Thirty percent of owned/delegated buildings will be LEED-Existing Building (EB) or "Green Buildings Initiative" (GBI) certified.</li> </ul>
FY 2011 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: Above Target</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All new leases are utilizing the GSA “green” lease language; however, no new leases were awarded in FY11.</li> <li>• Renovations &gt;5,000 sq ft are following LEED-Silver (or equivalent) processes. No renovations larger than 5,000 sq ft were completed in FY11.</li> <li>• As of the end of FY11, 40% of the owned/delegated domestic building portfolio is USGBC LEED-Silver (or higher) or GBI Green Globes certified. Four domestic buildings are Energy-Star certified.</li> </ul>
FY 2010 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: Above Target</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Green leasing – SA 25 (Hagerstown, MD warehouse) was certified LEED Silver in November 2009.</li> <li>• Two major renovations (&gt;\$1 million) completed in FY2010 were Building 84 in Charleston (the Department was awarded LEED-NC Platinum), and expects the facility to be "net-zero" energy consumption (first ever for both criteria for the Department)); and the NFATC Gymnasium (expected to be LEED-NC Silver). The Department is awaiting final certification confirmation.</li> <li>• In FY2010, two facilities received GBI-3 Globes certification (SA-1 and the Florida Regional Center (FRC)), bringing the Department’s owned/delegated portfolio total to 4 (4 of 10, or 40%). In addition, FRC was certified as Energy Star, the third domestic Department facility to achieve that status.</li> </ul>

## FY 2013 Congressional Budget Justification for State Operations Discontinued Indicators

FY 2009 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: New Indicator, No Rating</b>  All major renovations initiated in FY 2009 were designed to LEED-NC Silver expectation (i.e., Buildings 644 and 84 at the Charleston Regional Center, and the National Passport Center (NPC-2) addition in Portsmouth, NH. The design requirements for FAST-C and ESOC-West (ARRA initiatives) met LEED-NC Silver requirements.  “GBI-Green Globes (2)”, the equivalent to LEED-Silver certification, was awarded to the National Visa Center (NVC) in Portsmouth, NH in March, 2009. Similar certifications were initiated for the Beltsville Information Management Center and SA-1. Additionally, NVC received the Silver Energy Star certification in April, 2009.</p>
FY 2008 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: N/A</b>  N/A</p>
FY 2007 Rating and Result	<p><b>Rating: N/A</b>  N/A</p>
Reason for Discontinuation	<p>RM asked A Bureau to replace this with a specific quantitative indicator, and proposed that it address LEED certifications for domestic buildings. The A Bureau agreed &amp; expanded it to include other sustainability buildings certifications, to match a goal in the Dept's Agency Sustainability Plan.</p>